UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,680	03/09/2004	Thilo Leineweber	10191/3467	4908
26646 KENYON & K	7590 02/02/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	KISWANTO, NICHOLAS		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/797,680	LEINEWEBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NICHOLAS KISWANTO	3664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Oc</u>	ctober 2008.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	b by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
т ары түү(эртнан Date						

Art Unit: 3664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asada et al (US 6,434,471).
 - o In regard to claim 1, a device for controlling a speed of a motor vehicle in terms of one of a constant distance control in the case that at least one preceding vehicle is detected by a radar sensor and a constant speed control in the case that no preceding vehicle is detected by a radar sensor, the device comprising:
 - An arrangement for allowing a distance to a preceding vehicle to be set by a driver of the vehicle in the form of a time gap (Column 5, line 40 -Column 6, line 4)
 - An arrangement for changing longitudinal dynamics of the speed control
 when the time gap changes (Column 5, line 40 Column 6, line 4)
 - An arrangement for increasing, given a decrease in the time gap, at least one of a maximum possible vehicle acceleration and a maximum possible vehicle deceleration implementable by a speed control system so that the vehicle is capable of at least one of accelerating and decelerating more

Art Unit: 3664

quickly given the decrease in the time gap (Column 5, line 40 - Column 6, line 4)

- An arrangement for first activating, given a decrease in the time gap,
 deceleration devices of the vehicle at a shorter distance from the
 preceding vehicle (Column 5, line 40 Column 6, line 4)
- o In regard to claim 2, wherein a change in the time gap allows different driving programs to be selected. (Column 5, line 40 Column 6, line 4)
- o In regard to claim 5, a method for controlling a speed of a motor vehicle in terms of one of a constant distance control in the case that at least one preceding vehicle is detected by a radar sensor and a constant speed control in the case that no preceding vehicle is detected by a radar sensor, the method comprising:
 - Setting a distance to a preceding vehicle by a drive of the vehicle in the form of a time gap (Column 5, line 40 - Column 6, line 4)
 - Changing longitudinal dynamics of the speed control when the time gap changes (Column 5, line 40 - Column 6, line 4)
 - An arrangement for increasing, given a decrease in the time gap, at least one of a maximum possible vehicle acceleration and a maximum possible vehicle deceleration implementable by a speed control system so that the vehicle is capable of at least one of accelerating and decelerating more quickly given the decrease in the time gap (Column 5, line 40 - Column 6, line 4)

Art Unit: 3664

 An arrangement for first activating, given a decrease in the time gap, deceleration devices of the vehicle at a shorter distance from the preceding vehicle (Column 5, line 40 - Column 6, line 4)

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/9/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant points to a predetermined maximum acceleration as lack of disclosure of increasing a maximum possible vehicle acceleration. However, said passage cited by applicant merely indicates the maximum acceleration or deceleration possible under the most extreme of conditions. In the sentence immediately preceding Applicant cited passage, Asada clearly teaches increasing a maximum possible acceleration given a decrease in the time gap and further, activating deceleration devices of the vehicle at a shorter distance from the preceding vehicle.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIE A. WEISKOPF whose telephone number is (571)272-6288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Khoi Tran can be reached on (571) 272-6919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3664

Nicholas Kiswanto

January 24, 2009

/KHOI TRAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664